

An exactly solvable toy model that mimics the mode coupling theory of supercooled liquid and glass transition

Kyozi Kawasaki

Department of Natural Science and Mathematics,
Chubu University, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan

Bongsoo Kim

Department of Physics, Changwon National University
Changwon, 641-773, Korea

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A toy model is proposed which incorporates the reversible mode coupling mechanism responsible for ergodic-nonergodic transition with trivial Hamiltonian in the mode coupling theory (MCT) of structural glass transition. The model can be analyzed without relying on uncontrolled approximations inevitable in the current MCT. The strength of hopping processes can be easily tuned and the ideal glass transition is reproduced only in a certain range of the strength. On the basis of the analyses of our model we discuss about a sharp ergodic-nonergodic transition and its smearing out by "hopping".

PACS:05.40.+j;51.10.+y;61.20.-p;64.70Pf

The mode coupling theory(MCT) as applied to supercooled liquid and glass transition is the only existing first principle theory for this last stronghold of condensed matter physics with surprising success in describing the initial stages of freezing[1]. However, as one expects, there are lots of formidable issues in applying the theory originally designed for critical phenomena dealing with thousands of Ångströms to the short scale problems of at most tens of Ångströms. In the original derivation of the idealized MCT self-consistent equation for the density-density correlator, the factorization approximation of replacing the four-body time correlation function by the product of two-body time correlation functions was introduced, which is totally uncontrolled and whose region of validity is unknown. Also nature of the non-ergodic state after the freezing transition is far from clear. The difficulty is compounded if one goes over to the so-called extended MCT where the rapidly varying momentum variable is introduced in the MCT scheme, which was necessary to partially cover the thermally activated processes important after freezing transition has taken place. However, such rapidly varying momentum variable can never be sensibly treated by MCT[2]. Furthermore, physical picture of the hopping processes is still lacking.

In the recent years, the ideas and the methodology developed in the spin glass community are brought in to deal with structural glass problems, which was pioneered by Kirkpatrick, Wolynes and Thirumalai[3]. Here mean field type toy models like p -spin models are being analyzed producing deep insights. However, in all these spin glass models the glass transitions are driven by non-trivial Hamiltonians (or free energy functionals)[4].

On the other hand, in the above-mentioned MCT for structural glass, the transition is driven by the *reversible mode coupling mechanism*, and does not require a nontrivial Hamiltonian. Considering these circumstances we feel it important to develop a mean field type toy model that mimics this reversible mode coupling mechanism with trivial Hamiltonian and that can be easily analyzed. Proposition of such a toy model is the purpose of this communication. The model can be exactly solved and yield the self-consistent equation for the relevant correlator of the type familiar in the

mode coupling theories of supercooled liquid and glass transition, where the strength of hopping processes can be readily tuned.

Our model contains the N -component density variable a_i with $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ and the M -component velocity field variable b_α with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots, M$. In the end N and M will tend to ∞ keeping the parameter $\delta^* \equiv M/N$ finite. The model equations of motion are expressed by the following Langevin-type equations for nonlinear random coupled oscillators with damping and noise:

$$\dot{a}_i = K_{i\alpha} b_\alpha + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{N}} J_{ij\alpha} a_j b_\alpha \quad (1a)$$

$$\dot{b}_\alpha = -\gamma b_\alpha - \omega^2 K_{j\alpha} a_j - \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{N}} J_{ij\alpha} (\omega^2 a_i a_j - T \delta_{ij}) + f_\alpha \quad (1b)$$

$$\langle f_\alpha(t) f_\beta(t') \rangle = 2\gamma T \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta(t - t') \quad (1c)$$

where the upper dot is the time derivative and the angular bracket is the average over the Gaussian white thermal noise denoted by f_α . Here and after we use the summation convention over repeated indices. ω, γ and T are the positive constant parameters of the model and $K_{i\alpha}$ are the coefficients satisfying the conditions $K_{i\alpha} K_{i\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ (but *not* the conditions $K_{i\alpha} K_{j\alpha} = \delta_{ij}$ for $M < N$). $J_{ij\alpha}$ are the mode coupling coefficients which are chosen to be "quenched" Gaussian random variables with the following properties:

$$\overline{J_{ij\alpha}}^J = 0, \quad (2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{J_{ij\alpha} J_{kl\beta}}^J &= \frac{g^2}{N} \left[(\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + K_{i\beta} (K_{k\alpha} \delta_{jl} + K_{l\alpha} \delta_{jk}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + K_{j\beta} (K_{k\alpha} \delta_{il} + K_{l\alpha} \delta_{ik}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2b)$$

where $\overline{\dots}^J$ denotes average over the J 's.

(1a) is analogous to the equation of continuity of fluid and (1b) is like the equation of motion where the rhs is like the force acting on a fluid element. The coefficients $K_{i\alpha}$ govern linearized reversible dynamics of the oscillators with the dynamical matrix Ω given by $\Omega_{ij} \equiv \omega^2 K_{i\alpha} K_{j\alpha}$. In constructing this model we were motivated by [5, 6] where random coupling models involving infinite component order parameter have been shown to be analyzed exactly by mean field type concepts.

One can derive from the Langevin equations (1) the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation for the probability distribution function $D_t(\{a\}, \{b\})$ for our variable set denoted as $\{a\}, \{b\}$, whose stationary (equilibrium) solution is given by

$$D_e(\{a\}, \{b\}) = cst. e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\omega^2}{2T} a_j^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{1}{2T} b_\alpha^2} \quad (3)$$

where $cst.$ is the normalization factor.

For the subsequent analysis it is most convenient to adapt the generating functional method of [6] to our case, which produces no new problem and its details can be omitted. Then below we obtain for this toy model the correlation functions defined by

$$C_a(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{N} \langle a_j(t) a_j(t') \rangle, \quad C_{ab}(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{M} K_{j\alpha} \langle a_j(t) b_\alpha(t') \rangle, \quad (4a)$$

$$C_{ba}(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{M} K_{j\alpha} \langle b_\alpha(t) a_j(t') \rangle, \quad C_b(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{M} \langle b_\alpha(t) b_\alpha(t') \rangle \quad (4b)$$

$$C_a^K(t, t') \equiv \frac{1}{M} \langle a_\alpha^K(t) a_\alpha^K(t') \rangle, \quad a_\alpha^K \equiv K_{j\alpha} a_j \quad (4c)$$

The last one is needed to close the self-consistent set of equations for correlators when $M < N$ [8].

We can write down the set of effective linear Langevin equations resulting from the generating functional method which are valid in the limit of $M, N \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\dot{a}_i(t) = K_{i\alpha} b_\alpha(t) - \Sigma_{aa} \bigotimes a_i(t) - K_{i\alpha} \Sigma_{ab} \bigotimes b_\alpha(t) + f_i^a(t) \quad (5a)$$

$$\dot{b}_\alpha(t) = -\gamma b_\alpha(t) - \omega^2 K_{i\alpha} a_i(t) - K_{i\alpha} \Sigma_{ba} \bigotimes a_i(t) - \Sigma_{bb} \bigotimes b_\alpha(t) + f_\alpha^b(t) \quad (5b)$$

where f^a and f^b are the effective thermal noises whose correlations are related to the Σ 's in a usual way, and

$$\Sigma \otimes a(t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \Sigma(t, t') a(t') \quad \text{etc.} \quad (6)$$

Here the kernels Σ 's are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{aa}(t, t') &\equiv \frac{g^2 \omega^4}{T} \delta^* (C_a(t, t') C_b(t, t') + \delta^* C_{ab}(t, t') C_{ba}(t, t')), & \Sigma_{ab}(t, t') &\equiv -2 \frac{g^2 \omega^4}{T} \delta^* C_a(t, t') C_{ba}(t, t') \\ \Sigma_{ba}(t, t') &\equiv -2 \frac{g^2 \omega^6}{T} \delta^* C_a(t, t') C_{ab}(t, t'), & \Sigma_{bb}(t, t') &\equiv \frac{2g^2 \omega^6}{T} C_a(t, t')^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using this effective Langevin equation we can derive the equation for correlation functions (4) which depend only on $t - t'$, or their Laplace transforms the $C^L(z)$'s (the $\Sigma^L(z)$'s are the similarly defined Laplace transforms of $\Sigma(t, t')$'s):

$$z C_a^L(z) = \frac{T}{\omega^2} + (1 - \Sigma_{ab}^L(z)) \delta^* C_{ba}^L(z) - \Sigma_{aa}^L(z) C_a^L(z) \quad (8a)$$

$$z C_{ba}^L(z) = -(\gamma + \Sigma_{bb}^L(z)) C_{ba}^L(z) - (\omega^2 + \Sigma_{ba}^L(z)) C_a^{KL}(z) \quad (8b)$$

$$z C_{ab}^L(z) = (1 - \Sigma_{ab}^L(z)) C_b^L(z) - \Sigma_{aa}^L(z) C_{ab}^L(z) \quad (8c)$$

$$z C_b^L(z) = T - (\omega^2 + \Sigma_{ba}^L(z)) C_{ab}^L(z) - (\gamma + \Sigma_{bb}^L(z)) C_b^L(z) \quad (8d)$$

$$z C_a^{KL}(z) = \frac{T}{\omega^2} + (1 - \Sigma_{ab}^L(z)) C_{ba}^L(z) - \Sigma_{aa}^L(z) C_a^{KL}(z) \quad (8e)$$

Since the "self-energies", the Σ 's, are expressed in terms of the correlators by (7), the equations (8) constitute the self-consistent scheme for the 5 correlators (4). These have to be solved numerically under the appropriate initial conditions for the $C(t', t')$'s. Eliminating $C_{ba}^L(z)$ and $C_a^{KL}(z)$ from (8a, b and e) we find the following equation for $C_a^L(z)$:

$$C_a^L(z) = \frac{T}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{z + \Sigma_{aa}^L(z)} \left[1 - \omega^2 \delta^* \frac{(1 - \Sigma_{ab}^L(z))^2}{(z + \Sigma_{aa}^L(z))(z + \gamma + \Sigma_{bb}^L(z)) + \omega^2 (1 - \Sigma_{ab}^L(z))^2} \right] \quad (9)$$

where we have used the symmetry relation $\Sigma_{ba}^L(z) = -\omega^2 \Sigma_{ab}^L(z)$, and other correlators entering the Σ 's have been expressed similarly.

For $\delta^* = 0$ the $\{a\}$ variables are time-independent since there is no velocity variable $\{b\}$ that drives dynamics of $\{a\}$. Therefore the system is trivially nonergodic: $C_a(t, t') = C_a(t', t) = T/\omega^2$. For $\delta^* = 1$ (9) reduces to the equation derived in [10] and the system is ergodic due to the presence of strong hopping process. For intermediate values of δ^* we may expect ergodic-to-nonergodic transition as we have numerically seen for $\delta^* = 0.3$ (see Fig.1). For small values of δ^* we have the following result correct up to first order in δ^* :

$$C_a^L(z) = \frac{T}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{z} \left[1 - \delta^* \frac{1 + g^2 T}{1 + 2g^2 T} \right] \quad (10)$$

The phase diagram in the variables δ^* and T found numerically is displayed in Fig. 2. Equating (10) to zero we find the transition at $T_c = g^{-2}(1 - \delta^*)/(\delta^* - 2) < 0$, the unphysical value. Hence we suppose that T_c should diverge at some nonvanishing positive value of δ^* .

It should be emphasized that our theory is *exact* in the limit of large M and N . By varying the parameter δ^* which is the ratio of the numbers of components of $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$, we can control the strength of the hopping processes represented by the Σ_{aa} and Σ_{ab} terms. Reducing δ^* drives the system into glassy region.

Our naïve expectation when we started this work which was for $\delta^* = 1$ (*i.e.* $M = N$ and $K_{i\alpha} = \delta_{i\alpha}$) was the following. We are constructing an N -component model for which mean field mode-coupling approximation is exact as $N \rightarrow \infty$. A mean field type approximation often gives a sharp transition and Götze's MCT without "hopping" can be regarded as a kind of mean field theory. Hence it is natural to expect that this toy model designed to reproduce the Götze type

mean field theory rigorously has such a sharp transition. But unexpectedly our toy model did not show a sharp transition. In order to produce a transition it was necessary to generalize the original N -component model to allow $M < N$. Thus, understanding this so-called ideal glass transition without relying on uncontrolled approximation appears to be more subtle than expected. Another related issue is concerned with the nature of the "hopping" process which can occur even with trivial Hamiltonian. This means that the "hopping" found in our model has nothing to do with thermally activated energy barrier crossing since the trivial Hamiltonian does not possess such a barrier. The same comment applies to [10]. Thus we are faced with the problem of understanding the Arrhenius type hopping rate found recently[11].

One may interpret that since the reduction of δ^* is tantamount to restricting accessible region of the phase space, we can say that we have an entropic barrier[12]. This interpretation, however, need to be substantiated. In this connection it is illuminating to eliminate the variables $\{b\}$ adiabatically to obtain a closed Fokker-Planck type equation containing only the $\{a\}$ variables. Then we can show that the diffusion matrix in this equation is singular[13], *i.e.* its determinant vanishes. This gives rise to a possibility that the equation can have stationary solutions other than the equilibrium Gaussian one[13]. Such solutions are precisely the kind of non-ergodic states found numerically for the present original model. This feature will be significant beyond the present toy model. We also note the simultaneous appearance of the ergodic to non-ergodic transition and the new variable $a_\alpha^K \equiv K_{j\alpha} a_j$, (4c). Elucidating its implication is an intriguing future task.

We hope to discuss these aspects further including critical behavior near transition and an extension to aging in future.

K.K. acknowledges supports of the following research project and funding agencies: the Cooperative Research under the Japan-U.S. Cooperative Science Program, Scientific Research Fund of Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan, and Research Institute for Science and Technology of Chubu University. B.K. was supported by grant No. 1999-2-114-007-3 from the Interdisciplinary Research Program of the KOSEF. A part of this work was carried out when both authors spent two summers at Kyushu University. We would like to thank hospitality of Prof. S. Kai who provided office spaces and access to the facilities of his laboratory.

References

- [1] W. Götze, *Liquids, Freezing and Glass Transition*, J. Hansen, D. Levesque and J. Zinn-Justin (Eds.), (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991); W. Götze and L. Sjögren, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **55**, 241 (1992); *Transport Theor. Stat. Phys.* **24**, 801 (1995).
B. Kim and G. F. Mazenko, *Advances in Chemical Physics* **78**, 129 (1990).
More recent developments can be found in the collection of papers in *Transport Theor. Stat. Phys.* **24**, (1995), Nos 6-8 and the conference proceedings such as *J. Non-cryst. Solids* **235-237** (1998), and *J. Phys. C: Condensed Matter* **10A** (1999).
- [2] C. Z.-W. Liu and I. Oppenheim, *Physica A* **235**, 369 (1997).
- [3] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Thirumalai, *Phys. Rev. B* **36**, 5388 (1987); *ibid.* **37**, 3452 (1988); *ibid.* **38**, 4881 (1988); T.R. Kirkpatrick, D. Thirumalai and P.G. Wolynes, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 1045 (1989).
- [4] The references to be quoted are too numerous to be listed here. Thus we mention the recent workshop proceedings; *J. Phys. C: Condensed Matter* **12**, 6295-6681 (2000).
- [5] R. H. Kraichnan, *J. Fluid Mech.* **5**, 32 (1959).
- [6] J.-P. Bouchaud, L. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and M. Mézard, *Physica A* **226**, 243 (1996).
- [7] S. Franz and J. Hertz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 2114 (1995). A consequence of choosing the Itô calculus is that when a response $a(t)$ or $b(t)$ to the disturbance $\hat{a}(t')$ or $\hat{b}(t')$ occur simultaneously, the limit $t' \rightarrow t$ must be chosen in such a way that t is always greater than t' .

- [8] For $M = N$ we can impose an additional condition $K_{i\alpha}K_{j\alpha} = \delta_{ij}$, which results in $C_a^K(t, t') = C_a(t, t')$.
- [9] U. Dekker and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. A **11**, 2043 (1975).
- [10] R. Schmitz, J.W. Dufty and P. De, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2066 (1993).
- [11] W. Götze and Th. Voigtmann, cond-mat/0001188.
- [12] F. Ritort, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1190 (1995).
- [13] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation*, (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 1989).

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Temporal behavior of $C_a(t) \equiv C_a(t, 0)$ for $g = \gamma = \omega = 1$, $\delta^* = 0.3$. The curves are, from left to right at long times, for $T = 1.0, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$.

Fig.2 The phase diagram in the $\delta^* - T$ space dividing the ergodic and non-ergodic regions.

$g=\gamma=\omega=1, \delta^*=0.3, T=1.0, 0.5, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$



